On the vedic metre virāj-

Ryutaro Takezaki

The indian discipline of chandas has been treated in Weber's *Indische Studien 8 Über die Metrik der Inder*. Following his work, I investigate the metre named *virāj*—a rare vedic metre that bears a name meaning *ruler* or *shining* (PW), the same name as the progeny of the primaeval *puruṣa* (RV 10.90.5)— what kind of metre indian theoretitians meant by *virāj*, and whether the *virāj* with such a metrical schema ever existed in gyveda.

When one investigates the definitions of this virāj in various works treating vedic meter, one finds that they are not unanimous even within the same literature. For example, \mathbb{R} kprātiśākhya, on which I mainly base my argument, holds 5 different definitions for virāj in total---namely, α) 4x10; β) 3x10; γ)3x11; δ) any metre short by two syllables of a certain principal metre; and ϵ) any 26-syllabled metre belonging to the aforsaid set of metres. I show how these seemingly contradicting definitions can be reconciled into an original 10-syllabled viraj of either 3 or 4 pādas, by consulting metric literatures such as in Nidānasūtra, Śānkhāyanaśrautasūtra, anukramaṇī, as well as evidences in ritual literature like Śatapathabrāhmaṇa.

However, close examinination of the *virāj*-designated passages according to anukramaṇī reveals that the occurrences are mostly sporadic, a 10-syllabled line seldom secceeding another to form a stanza or a series of stanzas, most of which, in fact, when metrically restored, turn out to be either a uniform 3x11-*virāj* stanza or sporadic vairāja (10-syllabic) lines occuring among traiṣṭubha (11-syllabic) lines.

All this throws the $vir\bar{a}j$'s existence itself in doubt. Would it be, then, that $vir\bar{a}j$ existed only in the fancy of theoretitians whose mind inclined toward not so much an analysis as an enumeration of diverse metres? Against this view, I point to the possibility that two marginal metres in RV, bearing the names $dvipad\bar{a}$ $vir\bar{a}j$ and $vir\bar{a}isth\bar{a}n\bar{a}$ respectivelly, have played a role in theoretitian's creating the notion of the $4x10-vir\bar{a}j$, whereas $3x11-vir\bar{a}j$ occasionally interpersed with 10-syllabled line led to the notion of $3x10/3x11-vir\bar{a}i$.